



**International
Standard**

ISO 4722-2

**Water quality — Thorium 232 —
Part 2:
Test method using ICP-MS**

*Qualité de l'eau — Thorium 232 —
Partie 2: Méthode d'essai par ICP-MS*

**First edition
2024-12**

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 4722-2:2024



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2024

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

Page

Foreword	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	2
4 Symbols	2
5 Principle	3
6 Sampling and sample storage	5
7 Chemical reagents and apparatus	5
7.1 General	5
7.2 Chemical reagents	5
7.3 Apparatus	5
8 Separation	6
9 Quality control	6
9.1 General	6
9.2 Variables that can influence the measurement	6
9.3 Instrument verification	6
9.4 Method verification	7
10 Expression of results	7
10.1 Data analysis	7
10.2 Background	8
10.3 Internal standard	8
10.4 Expression of results using ^{229}Th or ^{230}Th as a recovery tracer by ICP-MS	8
10.4.1 Calculation of activity of tracer and mass of analyte	8
10.4.2 Measurement bias	9
10.4.3 Sample mass concentration	9
10.5 Expression of results using ^{229}Th or ^{230}Th as a recovery tracer	9
10.5.1 Calculation of activity of tracer and mass of analyte	9
10.5.2 Chemical recovery	10
10.5.3 Measurement bias	10
10.5.4 Sample mass concentration of the analyte	11
10.6 Limit of detection	11
10.7 Limit of quantification	11
10.8 Correcting for ^{232}Th contamination in the tracer	11
10.9 Conversion of mass concentration to mass activity	12
10.10 Conversion from mass to volume units	12
11 Test report	12
Annex A (informative) Chemical separation of thorium	14
Bibliography	17

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

ISO draws attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). ISO takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at www.iso.org/patents. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 147, *Water quality*, Subcommittee SC 3, *Radioactivity measurements*.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

Introduction

Radionuclides are present throughout the environment; thus, water bodies (e.g. surface waters, ground waters and sea waters) contain radionuclides, which can be of either natural or anthropogenic origin.

- Naturally-occurring radionuclides, including ^3H , ^{14}C , ^{40}K , and those originating from the thorium and uranium decay series, in particular ^{210}Pb , ^{210}Po , ^{222}Rn , ^{226}Ra , ^{228}Ra , ^{227}Ac , ^{231}Pa , ^{234}U and ^{238}U , can be found in water bodies due to either natural processes (e.g. desorption from the soil and runoff by rain water) or released from technological processes involving naturally occurring radioactive materials (e.g. mining, mineral processing, oil, gas and coal production, water treatment, and production and use of phosphate fertilisers).
- Anthropogenic radionuclides, such as ^{55}Fe , ^{59}Ni , ^{63}Ni , ^{90}Sr and ^{99}Tc , transuranic elements (e.g. Np, Pu, Am and Cm) and some gamma emitting radionuclides, such as ^{60}Co and ^{137}Cs , can also be found in natural waters. Small quantities of anthropogenic radionuclides can be discharged from nuclear facilities to the environment as a result of authorized routine releases. The radionuclides present in liquid effluents are usually controlled before being discharged to the environment^[1] and water bodies. Anthropogenic radionuclides used in medical and industrial applications can be released to the environment after use. Anthropogenic radionuclides are also found in waters due to contamination from fallout resulting from above-ground nuclear detonations and accidents such as those that have occurred at the Chernobyl and Fukushima nuclear facilities.

Radionuclide activity concentrations in water bodies can vary according to local geological characteristics and climatic conditions and can be locally and temporally enhanced by releases from nuclear facilities during planned, existing, and emergency exposure situations^{[2],[3]}. Some drinking water sources can thus contain radionuclides at activity concentrations that can present a human health risk. The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends to routinely monitor radioactivity in drinking waters^[4] and to take proper actions when needed to minimize the health risk.

National regulations usually specify the activity concentration limits that are authorized in drinking waters, water bodies and liquid effluents to be discharged to the environment. These limits can vary for planned, existing and emergency exposure situations. As an example, during either a planned or existing situation, the WHO guidance level for ^{232}Th in drinking water is $1 \text{ Bq}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$, see NOTES 1 and 2. Compliance with these limits is assessed by measuring radioactivity in water samples and by comparing the results obtained, with their associated uncertainties, as specified by ISO/IEC Guide 98-3 and ISO 5667-20^[5].

NOTE 1 If the value is not specified in Annex 6 of Reference [4], the value has been calculated using the formula provided in Reference [4] and the dose coefficient data from References [6] and [7].

NOTE 2 The guidance level calculated in Reference [4] is the activity concentration that, with an intake of $2 \text{ l}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$ of drinking water for one year, results in an effective dose of $0,1 \text{ mSv}\cdot\text{a}^{-1}$ to members of the public. This is an effective dose that represents a very low level of risk to human health and which is not expected to give rise to any detectable adverse health effects^[4].

This document contains method(s) to support laboratories that need to determine ^{232}Th in water samples.

The method described in this document can be used for various types of waters (see [Clause 1](#)). For radiometric methods, minor modifications such as sample volume and counting time can be made if needed to ensure that the decision threshold, detection limit and uncertainties are below the required limits. For ICP-MS methods, minor modifications to, for example, the sample pre-concentration volume and the interference separation, can be made if needed to ensure that the limit of detection, the limit of quantification and the uncertainties are below the required limits. This can be done for several reasons such as emergency situations, lower national guidance limits and operational requirements.

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 4722-2:2024

Water quality — Thorium 232 —

Part 2: Test method using ICP-MS

WARNING — Persons using this document should be familiar with normal laboratory practice. It is the responsibility of the user to establish appropriate safety and health practices and to determine the applicability of any other restrictions. This document does not purport to address all of the safety problems, if any, associated with its use.

IMPORTANT — It is essential that tests conducted according to this document be carried out by suitably trained staff.

1 Scope

This document specifies a method to determine thorium 232 (^{232}Th) by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). The mass concentrations obtained can be converted into activity concentrations.

The method described in this document is applicable to test samples of drinking water, rainwater, surface and ground water, marine water, as well as cooling water, industrial water, domestic and industrial wastewater after proper sampling and handling and test sample preparation.

The limit of detection depends on the sample volume, the instrument used, the background count rate, the detection efficiency and the chemical yield. In this document, the limit of detection of the method using currently available apparatus is approximately $2 \text{ mBq}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$ (or $\text{mBq}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$), which is lower than the WHO criteria for safe consumption of drinking water ($1 \text{ Bq}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$)^[4].

The method described in this document covers the measurement of ^{232}Th in water at activity concentrations between $2 \text{ mBq}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$ and $5 \text{ Bq}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$. Samples with higher activity concentrations than $5 \text{ Bq}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$ can be measured if a dilution is performed.

The method described in this document is applicable in the event of an emergency situation.

Filtration of the test sample is necessary for the method described in this document. The analysis of ^{232}Th adsorbed to suspended matter is not covered by this method. The analysis of the insoluble fraction requires a mineralization step that is not covered by this document. In this case, the measurement is made on the different phases obtained.

It is the user's responsibility to ensure the validity of this test method for the water samples tested.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO/IEC Guide 98-3, *Uncertainty of measurement — Part 3: Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement (GUM:1995)*

ISO 5667-1, *Water quality — Sampling — Part 1: Guidance on the design of sampling programmes and sampling techniques*

ISO 5667-3, *Water quality — Sampling — Part 3: Preservation and handling of water samples*

ISO 5667-10, *Water quality — Sampling — Part 10: Guidance on sampling of waste water*

ISO/IEC 17025, *General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories*

ISO 17294-1:2024, *Water quality — Application of inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) — Part 1: General guidelines*

ISO 17294-2:2023, *Water quality — Application of inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) — Part 2: Determination of selected elements including uranium isotopes*

ISO 80000-10, *Quantities and units — Part 10: Atomic and nuclear physics*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO/IEC Guide 98-3 and ISO 80000-10 apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <https://www.electropedia.org/>

4 Symbols

C	Mass activity	$\text{Bq}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$
C_s	Specific activity corresponding to one gram of the radionuclide	$\text{Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$
C_T	Activity of the tracer	Bq
C_{TS}	Mass activity of the tracer added to a sample	$\text{Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$
k	Coverage factor for uncertainties	—
L_D	Limit of detection in mass concentration, the lowest mass concentration that can be considered statistically different from a blank sample	$\text{Counts}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$
L_Q	Limit of quantification, the lowest mass concentration that can be quantified with statistical uncertainty	$\text{Counts}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$
m	Mass of the sample	kg
m/z	Mass-to-charge ratio measured by ICP-MS	—
m_A	Mass of analyte added to a spiked solution	g
m_{AS}	Mass of the analyte solution added to a control sample or for measurement calculation	g
m_C	Mass of the calibration standard tracer solution added to a sample	g
m_{CS}	Mass of the calibration standard solution added to a sample	g
m_{IS}	Mass of the internal standard added to a blank and a sample	g
m_{ISS}	Mass of the internal standard solution added to a blank or a sample	g
m_T	Mass of the tracer solution added to a blank and a sample	g
m_{TB}	Mass of the tracer solution added to a reagent blank	g
m_{TS}	Mass of the tracer solution added to a blank or a sample	g

N	Number of counts per second measured by ICP-MS of a sample at a given mass-to-charge ratio	Counts·s ⁻¹
N_0	Number of counts per second measured by ICP-MS of a blank sample at a given mass-to-charge ratio	Counts·s ⁻¹
$\bar{N}_0$	Average number of counts per second of several blank samples measured by ICP-MS at a given mass-to-charge ratio	Counts·s ⁻¹
N_{net}	Net number of counts per second, $N - N_0$	Counts·s ⁻¹
N_{netIS}	Net number of counts per second at the internal standard mass-to-charge ratio	Counts·s ⁻¹
N_{netT}	Net number of counts per second in samples where a tracer has been added to assess chemical recovery	Counts·s ⁻¹
N_{SP}	Net number of counts per second in the spiked reagent blank	Counts·s ⁻¹
N_{T}	Number of counts per second at analyte mass to charge ratio present as impurities	Counts·s ⁻¹
N_{US}	Net number of counts per second in the unspiked reagent blank sample	Counts·s ⁻¹
R_{C}	Chemical recovery following purification measured by ICP-MS	—
S_{N_0}	Standard deviation obtained by measuring of 10 test portions of the blank sample	Counts·s ⁻¹
U	Expanded uncertainty and the coverage factor k with $k = 1, 2, \dots$, $U = k \cdot u$	Bq·kg ⁻¹
$u(C)$	Standard uncertainty of the mass activity result	Bq·kg ⁻¹
$u(\rho)$	Standard uncertainty associated with the measurement result	g·kg ⁻¹
ρ	Mass concentration of the analyte	g·kg ⁻¹
ρ_{A}	Mass concentration of the analyte in the standard solution	g·g ⁻¹
ρ_{C}	Mass concentration calibration standard solution	g·g ⁻¹
ρ_{IS}	Mass concentration of the internal standard element or isotope per unit volume of the internal standard solution	g·g ⁻¹
ρ_{T}	Mass concentration of the tracer solution	g·g ⁻¹
ρ_{V}	Mass concentration of the analyte per sample unit volume	g·l ⁻¹
V	Volume of the sample	l
α	Measurement bias constant which allows a correction for signal intensity bias between the tracer and the analyte	—

5 Principle

The principle of measurement of analysis using ICP-MS is described in ISO 17294-1 and ISO 17294-2.

ICP-MS has been successfully used to measure the concentration of ²³²Th in water samples^{[8],[9]}.

The results can be converted in activity concentrations using the specific activity as a conversion factor given in [Table 1](#).

Table 1 — Half-life and specific activity of ^{232}Th ^[10]

Isotope	Half-life years	Specific activity $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$
^{232}Th	14,02 (7) 10^9	4,067 (17) $\cdot 10^3$

An example of the limit of detection that can be obtained with ICP-MS is given in [Table 2](#), with a typical measurement time of several minutes per sample, including sample uptake, counting time and washout before the next sample.

Table 2 — Example of a limit of detection^{[8], [9]}

Isotope	Limit of detection $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$	Limit of detection $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$
^{232}Th	0,5	$2,0 \times 10^{-3}$

Radionuclide measurement by ICP-MS is affected by several interferences which are outlined in [Table 3](#).

Table 3 — Interferences affecting ICP-MS measurement

Type of interference	Description	^{232}Th interference
Isobaric	Stable or radioactive isotopes with a similar mass to the analyte	None
Polyatomic	Stable or radioactive isotopes combining in plasma to form a polyatomic ion with a similar mass to the analyte	$^{231}\text{Pa}^1\text{H}$, $^{197}\text{Au}^{35}\text{Cl}$, $^{192}\text{Pt}^{40}\text{Ar}$
Tailing interference	Stable or radioactive isotopes of one or two mass units on either side of the analyte with a relatively high abundance ($>10^6$) relative to the analyte	^{231}Pa , ^{233}U

Depending on the potential interferences, ^{232}Th measurement can either be performed directly or after a chemical separation. This also removes elements that can form polyatomic and tailing interferences. It is important to ensure that all potential interferences have been removed prior to measurement. There are limited interferences affecting ^{232}Th measurement by ICP-MS, but polyatomic and tailing interferences shall be considered.

It is important to know the interference separation factor achievable by chemical separation and the ICP-MS instrument used. This can initially be assessed by measuring the concentration of interferences at increasing concentrations to monitor the impact at $m/z = 232$ before and after either chemical separation or instrumental separation, or both.

An aliquot of a water sample can be directly measured by ICP-MS to determine the stable element composition. High matrix samples (such as seawater) can need dilution to a greater extent before this measurement, depending on the sample introduction system of the instrument used; some designs offer online aerosol dilution capability that can run high matrix samples such as seawater without prior dilution.

If any interference has an impact on the ^{232}Th result that cannot be corrected for, then the result cannot be considered to be valid.

Chemical separation can be required to remove interferences and pre-concentrate ^{232}Th prior to measurement. As described in the ISO 17294 series, a tracer is needed to evaluate the recovery in chemical separation. The tracer can be mixed with an aliquot of sample, followed by chemical isolation of the analyte. Potential tracers are ^{229}Th and ^{230}Th , which can be measured using alpha spectrometry or ICP-MS. In case of a direct measurement, using a tracer is not necessary.

To quantify any potential interference coming from the reagents, a blank sample is prepared in the same way as the test sample. This blank sample is prepared using ultrapure water.

6 Sampling and sample storage

Sampling, handling and storage of the water shall be done as specified in ISO 5667-1, ISO 5667-3 and ISO 5667-10, and guidance is given for the different types of water in References [11] to [18]. It is important that the laboratory receives a sample that is truly representative and has neither been damaged nor modified during transportation or storage.

The sample is filtered to remove suspended matter using a 0,45 µm filter. A smaller pore size filter can also be used, but the filtration can be more tedious and time consuming. The sample shall be acidified after filtration to a pH of less than 2 using HNO₃.

Minimising contamination and losses is of primary concern. Impurities in the reagents and dust on the laboratory equipment, which is in contact with the samples can be potential sources of stable element contamination that increases the background at $m/z = 232$. The sample containers can lead to either a positive bias or a negative bias in the determination of trace elements by superficial desorption or adsorption.

7 Chemical reagents and apparatus

7.1 General

The chemical reagents and equipment used for chemical treatment and preparation of the samples are described in [Annex A](#).

Use only reagents of recognized analytical grade.

7.2 Chemical reagents

7.2.1 Ultrapure water, with a resistivity of more than 18,2 MΩ·cm at 25 °C and total organic carbon less than 1 µg·l⁻¹.

Unless otherwise stated, water refers to ultrapure water.

7.2.2 Instrument blank, for example 0,3 mol·l⁻¹ of nitric acid, used to determine the background count rate of the instrument at selected mass to charge ratios.

The same reagent is also used to prepare the calibration standards and final samples for measurement.

7.2.3 Thorium 232 standard solution, used to prepare calibration standards to calculate the concentration in the sample.

7.2.4 Internal standard solution, prepared with a stable element.

7.2.5 Tracer solution, for example ²²⁹Th or ²³⁰Th, to determine the chemical yield if chemical separation is carried out. This is prepared by dilution of a standard that is traceable to national and international standards.

Thorium 229 or ²³⁰Th should be added to the test sample at the beginning of the procedure. Depending on the ICP-MS instrument used, a suitable activity can be 10 Bq·g⁻¹ to 50 Bq·g⁻¹ (equivalent to 1,4 ng·g⁻¹ to 6,8 ng·g⁻¹).

7.2.6 Argon gas, for the plasma in the ICP-MS, at least 99,99 % pure.

7.3 Apparatus

Usual laboratory apparatus and, in particular, the following.

7.3.1 ICP-MS and associated software, quadrupole (with or without collision or reaction cell capability), **tandem, sector field or multi-collector**. Operation at constant temperature is recommended. Follow the manufacturer's instruction for laboratory setup and instrument operation.

7.3.2 Argon supply, equipped with pressure control and suitable extract and gas regulation systems.

7.3.3 Autosampler, if available, and compatible tubing for running multiple samples automatically.

7.3.4 Pipette, suitable for the accurate transfer of calibration standard, tracer and internal standard solution with a total precision within ± 1 %.

7.3.5 Balance, for example, capable of achieving $\pm 0,1$ mg precision.

8 Separation

It is the user's responsibility to ensure that all potential interferences have been removed. The removal of potential interferences is limited by the decontamination factor of the method. Suggested chemical separation options are outlined in [Annex A](#).

The separation method enables the separation of ^{232}Th from other actinides also measurable by ICP-MS (U, Np, Pu, Am). Alternative chemical separation procedures can be used that are outside the scope of this document (such as those in References [\[19\]](#), [\[20\]](#), [\[21\]](#), [\[22\]](#), [\[23\]](#), [\[24\]](#) and [\[25\]](#)).

9 Quality control

9.1 General

Measurement methods shall be performed by suitably skilled staff under a quality assurance program, such as the one that is described in ISO/IEC 17025.

If an analyst has not performed this procedure before, a precision and bias test should be performed by running a duplicate measurement of either a reference or spiked material. Acceptance limits should be within limits specified by the laboratory.

A similar evaluation procedure should be performed by the analyst who routinely applies this procedure, with a periodicity defined by the laboratory. Acceptance limits should be within limits specified by the laboratory.

9.2 Variables that can influence the measurement

Special care shall be taken in order to limit the influence of parameters that can bias the measurement and lead to a non-representative result. Failure to take sufficient precautions during the different steps of the measurement process, such as sampling, transportation and storage, reagents used and sample transfer, can require corrective factors to be applied to the measured results.

9.3 Instrument verification

Follow the instructions provided by the instrument manufacturer and the steps described in ISO 17294-1:2024, Clauses 7 and 9 and ISO 17294-2:2023, Clauses 8 to 11.

The instrument sensitivity, detection limit, measurement precision and measurement bias should be determined for every analysis performed on the instrument.

Before any sample measurement, measure a quality control solution. Ensure that the measured value of the concentration does not deviate from the expected value (within measurement limits). If the deviation exceeds the established laboratory measurement limits (e.g. sensitivity, stability and uncertainty), follow the recommendations of the instrument manufacturer and perform the optimization of parameters again.

The instrument sensitivity can be determined from ^{232}Th calibration standards run by ICP-MS prior to the samples. The concentration of calibration standard solutions should be known with high precision, using a certified standard if possible.

If a dual-mode detector or similar is used, then detector cross calibration can be required depending on the activity range of calibration standards measured.

A known amount of standard, m_{CS} , at a known concentration, ρ_{C} , shall be added for each standard, with the mass of calibration standard, m_{C} , calculated using [Formula \(1\)](#):

$$m_{\text{C}} = \rho_{\text{C}} \cdot m_{\text{CS}} \quad (1)$$

The uncertainty on m_{C} can be calculated using [Formula \(2\)](#):

$$u(m_{\text{C}}) = m_{\text{C}} \sqrt{u_{\text{rel}}^2(\rho_{\text{C}}) + u_{\text{rel}}^2(m_{\text{CS}})} \quad (2)$$

A calibration plot can be produced using either the ICP-MS instrument software or a spreadsheet. The calibration standard concentration can be plotted against the counts per second. A linear calibration line gives the instrument sensitivity based on [Formula \(3\)](#):

$$y = D \cdot x + E \quad (3)$$

where

D is the gradient of the calibration line;

Y is the counts per second for the analyte in the sample;

x is the mass concentration of the analyte;

E is the intercept for the y-axis when $x = 0$.

A linear calibration line gives values for D and E . If a calibration curve is used to determine the mass concentration of the measurand, the sample matrix effects on the instrument sensitivity are not always accounted for. The determination of the mass concentration using a recovery tracer is a more robust approach.

Equipment quality control solutions shall also be measured at regular intervals during the procedure to verify that the measurement equipment is performing within agreed limits.

An internal standard shall be prepared to monitor and correct for any change in instrument response during a run, using an element which has a similar mass and ionisation energy to ^{232}Th and that is not present in the sample being measured, for example, ^{209}Bi .

9.4 Method verification

The method should be validated periodically through replicate measurements of appropriate samples such as spiked samples, reference materials or participation in inter-comparison exercises.

The repeatability of the method should be verified (e.g. by replicate measurements).

10 Expression of results

10.1 Data analysis

The output from the instrument is typically in counts per second. Gross count rates for samples are corrected for background and change in instrument response using the internal standard. A correction for chemical recovery is also required.

If dilutions are carried out, apply the appropriate factor to the values of the sample.

10.2 Background

The blank solution is measured as a sample. The obtained value shall be subtracted from the measured sample values. A blank solution should also be measured regularly throughout the procedure.

A rinsing sequence is usually performed. The sample introduction system is rinsed between each sample using a solution of dilute HNO_3 . A blank solution shall be measured at regular intervals to verify that all remaining ^{232}Th is removed from the system by the count rate returning to the background level.

Depending on the interfering element concentration, more than one rinsing solution of different concentrations can be required to return the count rate to the background level.

Depending on the instrument software used, it is possible to set a threshold count rate that shall be reached during the rinsing sequence before moving on to the next sample.

The ICP-MS instrument software can have built-in background correction capability. The user shall take care that only one background correction is applied.

10.3 Internal standard

An internal standard shall be added to the samples before measurement, including a blank sample. The signal for internal standard monitors for changes in instrument performance during a run. This can be due to small variations in, for example, plasma gas flow rate, or, for higher matrix samples such as seawater, internal components such as interface cones becoming partially blocked during a run, reducing sample transmission.

A known amount of the internal standard, m_{IS} , shall be added to the sample and the blank. For this purpose, a solution of known mass concentration of the internal standard, ρ_{IS} , ideally with great precision, is needed. The mass of the internal standard solution, m_{ISS} , added is recorded. The mass of the internal standard added, m_{IS} , can be calculated using [Formula \(4\)](#):

$$m_{\text{IS}} = \rho_{\text{IS}} \cdot m_{\text{ISS}} \quad (4)$$

The uncertainty on m_{IS} can be calculated using [Formula \(5\)](#):

$$u(m_{\text{IS}}) = m_{\text{IS}} \sqrt{u_{\text{rel}}^2(\rho_{\text{IS}}) + u_{\text{rel}}^2(m_{\text{ISS}})} \quad (5)$$

Some ICP-MS instruments are equipped with online internal standard lines in the sample introduction that can measure a separate solution containing the internal standard.

The net count rate for the internal standard in each sample should be corrected based on the net count rate in the first background sample, and this correction factor applied to each sample.

The ICP-MS instrument software can have a built-in internal standard correction capability. The user shall take care that only one internal standard correction is applied.

10.4 Expression of results using ^{229}Th or ^{230}Th as a recovery tracer by ICP-MS

10.4.1 Calculation of activity of tracer and mass of analyte

The sample activity is determined using a tracer, which corrects for losses during the sample preparation. The tracer solution mass concentration, ρ_{T} , shall be known, ideally with high precision. Certified standards are usually employed. A defined quantity of the tracer solution is added to each sample and the mass of solution added, m_{TS} , is recorded.

The mass of tracer solution, m_T , added to each sample can be calculated using [Formula \(6\)](#):

$$m_T = \rho_T \cdot m_{TS} \quad (6)$$

The uncertainty on m_T can be calculated using [Formula \(7\)](#):

$$u(m_T) = m_T \sqrt{u_{\text{rel}}^2(\rho_T) + u_{\text{rel}}^2(m_{TS})} \quad (7)$$

To calculate the measurement bias, control or spiked samples are prepared containing a known concentration of ^{232}Th . A solution of ^{232}Th with a mass concentration known to a high precision, ρ_A , is needed. The mass of ^{232}Th added, m_A , can be calculated using [Formula \(8\)](#):

$$m_A = \rho_A \cdot m_{AS} \quad (8)$$

The uncertainty on m_A can be calculated using [Formula \(9\)](#):

$$u(m_A) = m_A \sqrt{u_{\text{rel}}^2(\rho_A) + u_{\text{rel}}^2(m_{AS})} \quad (9)$$

10.4.2 Measurement bias

The measurement bias is a correction factor that corrects for all the measurement deviation between the tracer and the analyte. It includes a correction for the mass bias and the variation of signal intensity between the tracer and the analyte.

The ICP-MS measurement bias, α , can be determined by measuring the net number of counts per second for the analyte, N_{net} , and for the tracer, N_{netT} , using a solution containing a known mass of analyte, m_A , and tracer, m_T . The measurement bias can be calculated using [Formula \(10\)](#):

$$\alpha = (m_A \cdot N_{\text{netT}}) / (m_T \cdot N_{\text{net}}) \quad (10)$$

The uncertainty on the measurement bias is determined using [Formula \(11\)](#):

$$u(\alpha) = \alpha \sqrt{u_{\text{rel}}^2(m_A) + u_{\text{rel}}^2(m_T) + u_{\text{rel}}^2(N_{\text{net}}) + u_{\text{rel}}^2(N_{\text{netT}})} \quad (11)$$

10.4.3 Sample mass concentration

The sample mass concentration, ρ , in ^{232}Th is calculated using [Formula \(12\)](#):

$$\rho = (\alpha \cdot m_T \cdot N_{\text{net}}) / (m \cdot N_{\text{netT}}) \quad (12)$$

The uncertainty on the sample mass concentration is calculated using [Formula \(13\)](#):

$$u(\rho) = \rho \sqrt{u_{\text{rel}}^2(m_T) + u_{\text{rel}}^2(N_{\text{net}}) + u_{\text{rel}}^2(N_{\text{netT}}) + u_{\text{rel}}^2(\alpha) + u_{\text{rel}}^2(m)} \quad (13)$$

10.5 Expression of results using ^{229}Th or ^{230}Th as a recovery tracer

10.5.1 Calculation of activity of tracer and mass of analyte

The sample activity is determined using a recovery tracer which corrects for losses during the sample preparation. The instrumental deviations during the measurement are corrected using an internal standard. The mass activity concentration of the tracer solution, C_{TS} , and the internal standard mass concentration, ρ_{IS} , shall be known, ideally with great precision. A certified standard is usually employed for ^{229}Th or ^{230}Th .

A defined mass activity of the tracer solution, C_{TS} , is added to each sample and the mass of the tracer solution added, m_{TS} , is recorded.

The activity of the tracer, C_T , added to each sample can be calculated using [Formula \(14\)](#):

$$C_T = C_{TS} \cdot m_{TS} \quad (14)$$

Note that the activity of tracer added is calculated at a reference date.

The uncertainty on C_T can be calculated using [Formula \(15\)](#):

$$u(C_T) = C_T \sqrt{u_{\text{rel}}^2(C_{TS}) + u_{\text{rel}}^2(m_{TS})} \quad (15)$$

To calculate the measurement bias from the internal standard and prepare control solutions, a known mass of ^{232}Th , m_A , shall be added to the solution. For this purpose, a solution of known mass concentration of ^{232}Th , ρ_A , ideally with great precision, is needed. The mass of the analyte solution added, m_{AS} , is recorded. The mass of ^{232}Th , m_A , can be calculated using [Formula \(16\)](#):

$$m_A = \rho_A \cdot m_{AS} \quad (16)$$

The uncertainty on m_A can be calculated using [Formula \(17\)](#):

$$u(m_A) = m_A \sqrt{u_{\text{rel}}^2(\rho_A) + u_{\text{rel}}^2(m_{AS})} \quad (17)$$

10.5.2 Chemical recovery

The ^{229}Th or ^{230}Th tracer can also be counted by alpha spectrometry. Compared to ICP-MS, this method requires the additional preparation of a thin layer source and the use of a second tracer to account for ^{229}Th or ^{230}Th loss. The chemical recovery, R_c , is then calculated by dividing the measured activity by the expected activity. The decay of the tracer shall be calculated. The chemical recovery is calculated using [Formula \(18\)](#):

$$R_c = \frac{(N_{\text{netT}})_i - (N_{\text{netT}})_f}{(N_{\text{netT}})_f} \quad (18)$$

where

i is the initial sample measured prior to separation;

f is the final sample after separation.

The uncertainty on the chemical recovery is determined using [Formula \(19\)](#):

$$u(R_c) = R_c \sqrt{u_{\text{rel}}^2((N_{\text{netT}})_i) + u_{\text{rel}}^2((N_{\text{netT}})_f) + u_{\text{rel}}^2((N_{\text{netT}})_f)} \quad (19)$$

Additional samples can be measured at different stages of the separation procedure to monitor the yield.

If dilutions are carried out, apply the appropriate factor to the values of the sample.

10.5.3 Measurement bias

The measurement bias is a correction factor that corrects for all the measurement deviations between the tracer and the analyte. It includes correction for the mass bias and the variation of signal intensity between the tracer and the analyte. When a stable tracer or internal standard is used it also corrects for the fact that only one isotope of the element is used for the measurement.

The measurement bias, α , is first determined by measuring with the ICP-MS instrument the number of counts per second (cps) obtained for ^{232}Th , N_{net} , and for the internal standard, N_{netIS} , using a solution containing a known mass of ^{232}Th , m_A , and internal standard, m_{IS} . The measurement bias is determined using [Formula \(20\)](#):

$$\alpha = (m_A \cdot N_{\text{netIS}}) / (m_{\text{IS}} \cdot N_{\text{net}}) \quad (20)$$

The uncertainty on the measurement bias is determined using [Formula \(21\)](#):

$$u(\alpha) = \alpha \sqrt{u_{\text{rel}}^2(m_A) + u_{\text{rel}}^2(m_{\text{IS}}) + u_{\text{rel}}^2(N_{\text{net}}) + u_{\text{rel}}^2(N_{\text{netIS}})} \quad (21)$$

10.5.4 Sample mass concentration of the analyte

The sample mass concentration of the analyte, ρ , in ^{232}Th is calculated using [Formula \(22\)](#):

$$\rho = (\alpha \cdot m_{\text{IS}} \cdot N_{\text{net}}) / (R_c \cdot m \cdot N_{\text{netIS}}) \quad (22)$$

The uncertainty on the sample mass concentration of the analyte is calculated using [Formula \(23\)](#):

$$u(\rho) = \rho \sqrt{u_{\text{rel}}^2(m_{\text{IS}}) + u_{\text{rel}}^2(N_{\text{net}}) + u_{\text{rel}}^2(N_{\text{netIS}}) + u_{\text{rel}}^2(\alpha) + u_{\text{rel}}^2(m) + u_{\text{rel}}^2(R_c)} \quad (23)$$

10.6 Limit of detection

The limit of detection, L_D , corresponds to the equivalent concentration of three times the standard deviation of the measurement of 10 test portions of a blank sample. The blank sample shall have passed through all steps of the method. The limit of detection is calculated using [Formula \(24\)](#):

$$L_D = \overline{N_0} + 3 \cdot S_{N_0} \quad (24)$$

10.7 Limit of quantification

The limit of quantification, L_Q , is 10 times the standard deviation of the measurement of 10 test portions of the blank sample. This can be calculated using [Formula \(25\)](#):

$$L_Q = \overline{N_0} + 10 \cdot S_{N_0} \quad (25)$$

NOTE The calculation of the limit of detection and limit of quantification using atom counting is different to that using decay counting techniques.

10.8 Correcting for ^{232}Th contamination in the tracer

The tracer can contain ^{232}Th contamination that interferes with the sample measurement if it is not corrected for. To correct for this, a blank sample should be prepared containing a known amount of tracer,

m_{TB} , and the counts at $m / z = 232$ compared between the spiked, N_{SP} and unspiked, N_{US} , blank using [Formula \(26\)](#):

$$N_T = N_{SP} - N_{US} \quad (26)$$

If a chemical separation is performed, the contribution of ^{232}Th from the tracer, N_T varies with the chemical recovery, R_c . The net counts for each sample, N_{netT} , can be calculated using [Formula \(27\)](#):

$$N_{netT} = (N - N_0) - [N_{US} + (R_c \cdot N_T \cdot m_T / m_{TB})] \quad (27)$$

The uncertainty on the tracer counts can be calculated using [Formula \(28\)](#):

$$u(N_{netT}) = \sqrt{(u(N))^2 + (u(N_0))^2 + (u(N_{US}))^2 + [u_{rel}^2(R_c) + u_{rel}^2(N_T) + u_{rel}^2(m_T) + u_{rel}^2(m_{TB})]^2} \quad (28)$$

10.9 Conversion of mass concentration to mass activity

The specific activity, C_s , of ^{232}Th can be multiplied by the mass concentration, ρ , limit of detection, L_D , and limit of quantification, L_Q , to convert from mass concentration to mass activity, C , using [Formula \(29\)](#):

$$C = \rho \cdot C_s \quad (29)$$

The uncertainty of the mass activity is calculated using [Formula \(30\)](#):

$$u(C) = C \sqrt{u_{rel}^2(\rho) + u_{rel}^2(C_s)} \quad (30)$$

The L_D and L_Q are estimated values and do not require an uncertainty calculation.

10.10 Conversion from mass to volume units

A conversion from mass (grams per kilogram) to volume (grams per litre) units can be achieved using the analyte mass, ρ , mass, m , and volume, V , of the sample recorded during the procedure. The conversion is carried out using [Formula \(31\)](#):

$$\rho_v = \frac{m \cdot \rho}{V} \quad (31)$$

The uncertainty in this conversion can be calculated using [Formula \(32\)](#):

$$u(\rho_v) = \rho_v \sqrt{u_{rel}^2(\rho) + u_{rel}^2(m) + u_{rel}^2(V)} \quad (32)$$

11 Test report

The test report should conform to ISO/IEC 17025 requirements. The test report shall contain the following information:

- a reference to this document i.e. ISO 4722-2:2024;
- identification of the sample;
- the units in which the results are expressed;

- d) the test result can be given according to either method 1 or method 2; the method used shall be clearly stated in the report.

— Method 1

- if the result is less than the limit of detection, the result of the measurement is expressed as $\leq L_D$,
- if the result is between the limit of detection and the limit of quantification, the result of the measurement is expressed as $\leq L_Q$,
- if the result is greater than limit of quantification, the result of the measurement is expressed as $(\rho \pm k \cdot u(\rho) \text{ or } \rho \pm U)$ or as $(C \pm k \cdot u(C) \text{ or } C \pm U)$ with the associated k value.

If the limit of detection exceeds the guideline value, it shall be documented that the method is not suitable for the measurement purpose.

— Method 2

- if the result is less than the limit of quantification, the result of the measurement is expressed as $\leq L_Q$,
- if the result is greater than limit of quantification, the result of the measurement is expressed as $(\rho \pm k \cdot u(\rho) \text{ or } \rho \pm U)$ or as $(C \pm k \cdot u(C) \text{ or } C \pm U)$ with the associated k value.

If the limit of quantification exceeds the guideline value, it shall be documented that the method is not suitable for the measurement purpose.

- e) the date used to calculate the sample mass activity;
- f) the date of issue of the report.

Complementary information can be provided such as:

- g) relevant dates such as the date of sampling, the date of the sample receipt and the date of the analysis start, where these dates are critical to the validity and application of the results;
- h) the limit of application;
- i) the limit of detection and limit of quantification;
- j) mention of any relevant deviation from this document likely to affect the results and any unusual features observed.

Annex A (informative)

Chemical separation of thorium

A.1 Sample preparation

The removal of suspended matter from a raw aqueous sample shall be performed as soon as possible after the sampling.

Take a test portion of known volume (e.g. 0,5 l). Record the mass if needed.

The sample test portion should be acidified to <pH 2 with hydrochloric acid or nitric acid.

There are several possibilities for the chemical separation of thorium. Examples are given in [Clause A.2](#).

This Annex does not cover evaporation of the sample to near-dryness, followed by dilution in 0,1 mol·l⁻¹ HNO₃ and measurement by ICP-MS without chemical separation.

A.2 Examples of thorium separation and purification

A.2.1 General

This procedure is based on References [\[24\]](#) and [\[25\]](#).

A.2.2 Reagents

Unless otherwise stated, use only reagents of recognized analytical grade and distilled or demineralized water or water of equivalent purity.

A.2.2.1 Concentrated ammonia, $c(\text{NH}_4\text{OH}) = 250 \text{ g} \cdot \text{l}^{-1}$.

A.2.2.2 Carrier salt or solution, calcium phosphate $[\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2]$ or yttrium chloride (YCl_3), or iron(III) chloride (FeCl_3).

A.2.2.3 Ion-exchange or extraction chromatographic resin, specific for tetravalent actinides.

A.2.2.4 Hydrochloric acid, $c(\text{HCl}) = 9 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{l}^{-1}$.

A.2.2.5 Hydrochloric acid, $c(\text{HCl}) = 0,1 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{l}^{-1}$.

A.2.2.6 Nitric acid, $c(\text{HNO}_3) = 8 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{l}^{-1}$.

A.2.2.7 Nitric acid, $c(\text{HNO}_3) = 6 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{l}^{-1}$.

A.2.2.8 Nitric acid, $c(\text{HNO}_3) = 3 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{l}^{-1}$.

A.2.2.9 Nitric acid, $c(\text{HNO}_3) = 0,1 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{l}^{-1}$.

A.2.2.10 Aluminium nitrate solution in nitric acid. Dissolve 1 mol $\text{Al}(\text{NO}_3)_3$ in 1 l of 3 mol·l⁻¹ of HNO₃.